

037



Doing things digitally is our preference. Tick the box if you are not happy to receive correspondence via email:

Tick the box if you would like to subscribe to the Aberdeenshire LDP eNewsletter:

## Fair processing notice

Please tick to confirm your agreement to the following statements:

By submitting a response to the consultation, I agree that Aberdeenshire Council can use the information provided in this form, including my personal data, as part of the review of the Aberdeenshire Local Development Plan. This will include consultation on the Main Issues Report (including any subsequent Proposed Plan).

I also agree that following the end of the consultation, i.e. after 8 April 2019, my name and respondent identification number (provided to you by Aberdeenshire Council on receipt of your submission) can be published alongside a copy of my completed response on the Main Issues Report website (contact details and information that is deemed commercially sensitive will not be made available to the public).

The data controller for this information is Aberdeenshire Council. The data on the form will be used to inform a public debate of the issues and choices presented in the Main Issues Report of the Aberdeenshire Local Development Plan 2021. It will inform the content of the Proposed Aberdeenshire Local Development Plan.

Aberdeenshire Council will only keep your personal data for as long as is needed. Aberdeenshire Council will retain your response and personal data for a retention period of 5 years from the date upon which it was collected. After 5 years Aberdeenshire Council will review whether it is necessary to continue to retain your information for a longer period. A redacted copy of your submission will be retained for 5 years beyond the life of the Local Development Plan 2021, possibly until 2037

### Your Data, Your Rights

You have got legal rights about the way Aberdeenshire Council handles and uses your data, which include the right to ask for a copy of it, and to ask us to stop doing something with your data.

If you are unhappy with the way that Aberdeenshire Council or the Joint Data Controllers have processed your personal data then you do have the right to complain to the Information Commissioner's Officer, but you should raise the issue with the Data Protection Officers first. The Data Protection Officers can be contacted by writing to:

- Mr Andrew Lawson, Data Protection Officer, Aberdeenshire Council, Business Services, Town House, 34 Low Street, Banff, AB45 1AY

If you have difficulty understanding this document and require a translation, or you need help reading this document (for example if you need it in a different format or in another language), please phone us on 01467 536230.



Which document(s) are you commetning on?	Main Issues Report	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Draft Proposed Aberdeenshire Local Development Plan	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Strategic Environmental Assessment Interim Environmental Assessment	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

## Your comments

I base my comments on the proposed plan in and around Muchalls and Newtonhill. Specifically plan references, KN059 (Muchalls); KN056; KN133; KN100; KN101 (Newtonhill) and KN132 (West Cammachmore).

Firstly, a development of additional 50 houses in Muchalls will increase the percentage of incidents and possible fatal accidents at a totally unsafe crossing onto the A90 (T) at any time day or night. Heading out of the village towards the A90, it takes less than six seconds to see a vehicle travelling at 70 mph heading south on the A90 dual carriageway. It is even less time for vehicles travelling in excess of 70 mph which is often the case.

Muchalls has little to no amenities, no shops and very limited social venues including playparks and areas for teenagers. The current children have to be bussed to Newtonhill again having to cross the A90 at peak times in the morning. The pathway to Newtonhill is a muddy path for the majority of the way across the wasteland which has multiple wildlife abiding there. The path is not fit which again is a cause for concern for children acrossing the the darker nights of Autumn and Winter.

50 additional houses will put more strain on both primary and secondary schools, health and doctors surgeries. This will be further compounded with additional housing in the immediate area of Newtonhill, Cammachmore, Downies and Portlethen.

Newtonhill. There is currently in excess of 600 objections to a proposed development between the villages of Mewtonhill and Muchalls. This objection was rejected by the local councillors in October 2018, however the developers Barratt, have appealed the objection which is currently under review by the Scottish Government. Surely there is a very strong message here that proposed housing in the areas of KN056, KN100, KN101 and KN133 will only further increase the objections whether or not the proposed development in OP1 are finally rejected or even approved in the near future. There are numerous objections to the OP1 proposed development including inadequate roads leading into the proposed sight from both Park Place and the Cairnhill housing.

Together with the additional housing in the immediate area of Muchalls, Newtonhill, Cammachmore and Portlethen this will lead to congestion and strain on local services to include primary and secondary schools, health and doctor surgeries, social services, amenities for both old and young people. Currently the local Aberdeenshire council do not financially support the Bettridge Centre, The Skateraw Hall, the Muchalls Village Hall or other facilities where social activities for the incumbents of these villages abide. There is little to no local employment in any of the villages of Muchalls, Newtonhill or Cammachmore, so again incumbents will be required to travel into the larger towns and city of Aberdeen for employment so increasing traffic and environmetal pollution caused by traffic fumes. There has been interest in opening Newtonhill rail station, but this is highly unlikely due to the lack of immediate car parking around the proposed station site.

Newtonhill has grown immensely over the 70 years that I have lived here in Newtonhill and I, along with others, have seen the lack of amenities and funding from the previous Kincardineshire and now the Aberdeenshire council. This is a trend that can only get worse as councils in general strive to make ends meet. The people of Newtonhill and surrounding district have spoken in their multitudes that we do not wish more houses in the innmediate area. With reluctance we agreed many years ago to the development of the Chapleton complex. This was to ensure that no sporadic housing would be approved in and around the villages previously mentioned. How promises are Broken! Let us all put a stop to further plans for new housing plans and concentrate on the Chapleton development which will in time, and maybe a long time, put the amenities of Schools, Doctors, propoer shopping facilities, libraries, halls, sporting centres, and other needful social requirements to meet the needs of young and old alike, in place for the development at Chapleton and beyond in the local community.

It is important also that we retain the small areas of wild habitat between the villages of Muchalls, Newtonhill, Cammachmore and Portlethen so that each area has its own identity. We do not wish to see conglomerated housing stretching south of Aberdeen with no wild habitat to enjoy and create areas for all ages to experience. Wildlife abounds in these areas currently with deer, fox, rabbit, hare, badgers, bats and many hundreds of bird types inhabating the areas of moor and cliff.

Finally to all planners - listen to your people and support the objections which will far outweigh those in favour of more houses. Don't spoil something that is already under threat.

